

# *The Calloused Digit*

by  
Frederick Meekins  
Issue #6

## **Questionable Ideas Regarding Christian Service Emanate From Numerous Pulpits**

It was said in a sermon on ordination that one denomination required seminary, an internship and 13 examinations before bestowing this ecclesiastical status. What is to stop people from just forming their own churches and ministries in order to sidestep so many hoops? You might not be allowed to minister through that particular church. However, salvation is granted through belief in the risen Christ, not through compliance with a particular regulatory body. As such, it cannot be revoked if you set to preaching on your own or pursue ordination through a ministry that is not as stringent in terms of its requirements.

A Lutheran pastor discussing who is your neighbor criticized monastics for neglecting their vocations intended by God by attempting to select for themselves whom their neighbors would be. But unless one possesses the omniscience of God, how does one not know that it wasn't God drawing these individuals together for the purposes of ministering to one another? Furthermore, how would those holding to this criticism apply it to our own day? Would a church forbid someone from moving from moving from an undesirable area because doing so would be an attempt to leave the situation in which God has placed you? The minister arguing for this position made it sound like one's opportunities in life are divinely circumscribed by the COMMUNITY in which one happens to find oneself. As such, would it be a violation of God's will to marry someone that does not happen to be from one's own hometown?

A Lutheran pastor discussing who is your neighbor remarked that the Christian ought to be careful of going beyond those God has placed the believer among in the attempt to discover one's purpose. But what if those you find yourself among hinder you in the pursuit of actualizing what one believes to be one's vocation or from exercising the spiritual gifts one believes with which one has been granted to achieve this potential calling?

At a missions conference, it was suggested that a Christian should give up one meal that week and instead use that time to pray for global outreach. Is there some reason this contemplative petition being

incorporated into a pre- or post-meal prayer is insufficient?

At a missions conference, it was asked how generous are you with what the Lord has given you. Now is the person making the inquiry doing so from a genuine position of detached pious altruism? Or are they positioning themselves in the hopes of getting a percentage of what you are being manipulated out of?

It was said in a sermon that it is selfish to work for wages or from a perspective of what can you get out of it. Would the homilist prefer we otherwise not work at all? Where do they think the money slipped into the collection plate otherwise comes from? Selfish ambition would be more using immoral means to acquire what one desires or to somehow cheat the individual with whom has entered into a contractual arrangement thought to be mutually beneficial to the involved parties.

In a sermon, men were rhetorically challenged that, as their lives were ending, would they have rather spent their lives watching every episode of their favorite TV program or having given oneself to the faithful service of God's church. But in this era of DVR's, DVD's and even Youtube, is this really an either or decision? Why can't one do both? But more importantly, given that the sermon addressed the topic of leadership positions within the church, what if one's church situation does not allow for such opportunities or attach so many extra-Biblical regulations governing the position that filling the office is not worth the trouble?

A radio report categorized the Indiana Religious Freedom Restoration Act as not friendly towards homosexuals. What about the number of court rulings handed down inimical to the adherents of particular religious convictions that require this variety of legislative response? This statute could be carefully modified to protect industries, artisans, and craftsmen below a certain size. Large corporations, for the most part, don't oppose the disputed lifestyle. They have, after all, been at the forefront of indoctrinating the American people into embracing this form of social decay.

## Media Propagandists Agitate Against Christian Morality

A radio report categorized the Indiana Religious Freedom Restoration Act as not friendly towards homosexuals.

What about the number of court rulings handed down inimical to the adherents of particular religious convictions that require this variety of legislative response?

This statute could be carefully modified to protect industries, artisans, and craftsmen below a certain size.

Large corporations, for the most part, don't oppose the disputed lifestyle.

They have, after all, been at the forefront of indoctrinating the American people into embracing this form of social decay.

CNN propagandist Chris Cuomo, in violation of his professed Roman Catholicism, exasperatedly in a defense of gay marriage asked a Christian rhetorically offered up in the arena to the lions, "You cannot fix gay, do you understand that? This is who these people are."

So why is the only apparently inalterable aspect of the human psyche that which pertains to whom you enjoy rubbing your privates against?

So what if the Christian turned this interrogation around and responded "This is who we are. You can't alter our beliefs against our will. Don't you understand that?"

Why are Christians obligated to violate their nature?

Why must the carnally licentiousness be allowed to revel in who they are but not the religiously devout?

## Fox News Five Pundits Elevate Political Unity Over Moral Propriety

Greg Gutfeld said that the upcoming election is about a leader unifying a divided American people.

Didn't the Nazi's say similar things in Germany following the turbulent economic era of the 1920's and during the ascent of Adolf Hitler?

Dana Parino suggested that it was progress that activists are working to remove the anti-gay marriage plank from the Republican Party platform.

Would it be better categorized as a sign of cultural regress?

## Headline Links

[Are Luciferian Elites Planning To Eliminate The Discerning Resistance?](#)

[African Cultist Orders Female Parishioners To Remove Undergarments To Receive Holy Spirit Penetration](#)

[Millennials & Religion](#)

[Did Deranged Pilot Kill Passengers Over A Detached Retina?](#)

[Sodomites Rampage Demanding The Pious Celebrate Wanton Carnality](#)

[Are Christians Opposing The American Revolution Willing To Renounce Their Constitutional Liberties?](#)

[Thousands Of Churches Distance Themselves From Deviant Presbyterians](#)

[Russell Moore Rather Flagellate Southern Baptists Over Race Than Defend The Unborn](#)

[Propagandists Indoctrinate Whelps The Celebrate The Infanticide Of Their Siblings](#)

[A Lutheran Review Of "Insurgent"](#)

[Prince Charles Advocates Environmental Dictatorship While Wallowing In Luxury](#)

[Will Deluded Astronomers Invite Extraterrestrials To Conquer Earth?](#)

[Is Ted Kennedy Still Swindling The American People From A Ringside Seat In Hell?](#)

[Catholic Wenches Clamor For Birth Control Handouts At Nearly The Same Rate A Protestants](#)

[Did Colorado Legislator Insinuate Victim Of Baby Harvesting Attack Didn't Do Enough To Prevent Abortion?](#)

[Were The Georgia Guidestones Used In A Satanic Ritual?](#)

[Strategically Placed Graffiti Results In Day Of Self-Flagellation](#)

## Rural Woodsman Condemned For Prophetic Insight

Spineless milksops are outraged at Phil Robertson of Duck Dynasty over the most profound thing he may have ever said.

At a prayer breakfast in Florida, the backwoodsman took direct aim at the ethical bankruptcy of moral relativism.

In the example, Robertson postulated an atheist family where not only are the daughters raped, the wife decapitated, and the father threatened with bodily mutilation but where the Nietzschean assailants revel philosophically in their debauchery in light of the possibility that there is no transcendent standard by which these actions could be categorized as wrong.

Media elites such as those at the Huffington Post are insisting that Robertson's remarks are part of a bizarre and disturbing fantasy.

However, the elaborated scenario is not that markedly different than what is taking place across vast swathes of Islamist controlled territory.

And if all there is is what transpires in the realm of physical matter, on what grounds does civilization stand against these kinds of atrocities?

By the moral vision and worldview of ISIS, it is perfectly acceptable to not only brutally eliminate the infidel but to enjoy carnally defiling the women of the targeted population while engaged in such genocide.

The atheist views human kind as little more than an animal.

In nature, all that matters is continued survival, the propagation of your particular genetic line, and your own pleasure.

It is not unheard of for members of a particular species to inflict all manner of what would be categorized as violence by polite society upon their own kind in pursuit of these particular goals.

Others will insist that, even if Phil Robertson is correct in his observations, he needs to be sensitive that his verbal formulations might unsettle a number of those in the listening or viewing audience, particularly liberal females.

Interesting how these very same marms that don't want Phil Robertson heinously describing heinous acts

certainly didn't mind plopping down their money at the bookstore or cinema for "Fifty Shades Of Grey".

Others certainly don't mind overlooking the violence utilized as a literary device by Stephen King, especially if as part of a narrative for the purposes of making traditional religion look bad.

The cultural and moral relativism the Duck Commander warned about in his prayer breakfast homily is a nefarious and manipulative thing.

Under it's rubric, we are obligated to not only refrain from criticizing but must also enthusiastically applaud balladeers from the ghetto celebrating all manner of crime and debauchery.

Educated effetes in metropolises such as New York and Los Angeles might not be accustomed to the plainspokenness of rural elocutes.

However, by the same standard such elites impose under threat of ruination for those failing to abide by it, if they are not members of Phil Robertson's culture and demographic, who are they to impose their values upon despised White Christian Southerners?

### Headline Link

[O'Reilly Claims He Knows More Than God](#)

[Would Diversitymongers Be In Connptions If Outsourced Daily Show Host Replacement Mocked White Folks Instead?](#)

[Peter Parker Eradicated Soviet Style From The Marvel Universe For Being Insufficiently Negro](#)

[Does Lent Have Pagan Origins?](#)

[Does The Huffington Post Intend To Ream Islam A New One Over The Travails Of Women They Way They Have The Catholic Church?](#)

[Is Clark Kent Bi-Comical?](#)

[Tolerancemongers Threaten Violent Upheaval](#)



## Headline Links

[Frau Obama Urges Whelps To Submit Recipes In Compliance With The Regime's Mandates](#)

[Is A Preference For Frail Wenches A Sign Of Social Decay?](#)

[No Amount Of Positive Thinking Saved Robert Schuller From The Ravages Of Physical Death](#)

[Why Aren't Sodomite Bakers Forced To Violate Their Convictions Like Christian Ones?](#)

[Libertarian Illusionist Suggests Freedom Of Religion Ought To Just Disappear](#)

[Frau Obama Outraged National Parks Lack Ghetto Vibe](#)

[Will Revolutions In Genetics Allow Freaks To Rampage Unchecked?](#)

[Obama Prepares To Flood America With Immigrant Hordes](#)

[Islamist Savages Succumb To Flesh-Eating Plague](#)

[Swedes Criminalize Citizens Failing To Embrace National Suicide](#)

[Southern Baptists Try To Out Do One Another In Contest To See Which Among Them Despises Being White The Most](#)

[Is Turkish Leader Positioning Himself As Pseudo-Messiah?](#)

[Minority Heart Transplant Recipient Proves Himself To Be The Deadbeat Hoodlum Some Already Suspected](#)

[Deranged Subversive Demands Tax-Exempt Churches Embrace Gay Marriage](#)

[Coulter Asks Why Do The Hyperpious Care More About Africa Than America](#)

[Southern Baptists Insinuate Failure To Denounce The White Race Could Send You To Hell](#)

[Scourging Post Gives The Contemplative Much To Think About](#)

[Globetrotting Bishop Insists One Of The Few Remaining Sins In The Increasingly Debauched Episcopal Church Is Denying Climate Change](#)

[Will Improved Radiometric Dating Methods Cut A Few More Branches Off Mankind's Fabricated Evolutionary Tree?](#)

[Pope Caught Consuming A Disproportionate Percentage Of The World's Resources](#)

[National Zoo Takes Precautions Against Trayvonite Swarm](#)

[Obama Directly Airlifts Illegalist Hordes Into The United States At Taxpayers' Expense](#)

[Santorum Condemned For Daring To Ask If Anti-Discrimination Means A Sodomite Print Shop Can Be Compelled To Provide God's Hate Fags Paraphernalia](#)

[Would Afrosupremacists Rather Their Homes Burn To The Ground Rather Than Be Rescued By Competent Whites?](#)

[Pastor Hints Those That Question Ministers Should Be Executed](#)

[Food Fascists Attempt To Ruin & Politicize Easter](#)

[Do New Bible Translations Pander To Islamic Derangements?](#)

[Is The Republican Party Assuming A Submissive Position In Relation To Gay Marriage?](#)

[White House Egg Roll To Indoctrinate Urchins In The Priorities Of The Fatherland](#)

[Is Disney Out To Destroy The X-Men?](#)

[Bruce Jenner Scheduled To Debut Boob Job In 20/20 Interview](#)

[Univision Propagandist Insinuates Whites Opposing The Conquest Of America Deserve To Be Beaten](#)

[Should Food Stamp Leeches Eat Higher Up The Food Chain Than The Wage Slaves Providing The Assistance?](#)

## **Resurrection Sunday Used As Backdrop For Questionable Presuppositions Regarding Death**

A pastor sneered condescendingly at his physician for counseling that, if the pastor did not get a particular health issue under control, that the pastor might go to Heaven before his time.

The minister insisted that it is not possible for someone to depart this world before one has completed one's work for God.

Therefore, there really isn't any reason to be concerned about how one will die.

Perhaps the pastor is correct.

You won't depart this world before you are supposed to.

However, it does not follow that the reason you are scheduled for an early departure is not the result of your own stupidity or actions.

When the pastor left church, did he look both ways before turning into traffic?

To employ the kind of logic applied in the homily, wouldn't such a vehicular procedure denote a lack of faith?

If we weren't meant to give much consideration as to the ways in which we leave this world, perhaps God should not have allowed most of them to be so painful.

A pastor confessed to the congregation that he is going to donate his body to science after he dies.

Is the point to see at the Resurrection or the Rapture if any donated organs come flying out of any reprobates that they might have been reassigned to as they are remanded during the process of sanctified glorification to the individual originally holding title?

Furthermore, doesn't this pulpit revelation negate any potential criticisms of cremation this particular Biblical expositor might enunciate in the future?

One can't really berate a congregation or a perplexed individual making a sincere inquiry about how throughout Scriptural and Church History the precedent is for the believer to be buried when one does not intend to be buried oneself.

Throughout my own studies of Christianity, I do not recall any passages where it is detailed that the Apostles, Disciples, or foremost among the Saints willingly surrendered up their remains for the purposes of dissection or experimentation.

## **Will Obama Get As Upset Over Islamic Atrocities As Christian Incivility?**

At the White House Easter Breakfast, President Obama verbalized his concern that a number of Christians comport themselves in a less than loving manner.

At the numerous Islamic functions Obama is eager to commemorate, does he vocalize disappointment towards activist adherents of that particular religion committing numerous atrocities such as widespread decapitations and child rape?

About the worst thing Christians have done as of late is refuse to bake wedding cakes for certain people.

At the White House Persian New Year celebration, did Obama speak out against Iran's efforts to acquire weapons of mass destruction?

## **If Minors Can Have Abortions, Why Not Prize Money?**

A 12 year old was denied the \$20,000 ESPN prize for completing nearly perfect March Madness brackets because he is under 18.

However, had the lad wanted access to an abortion or birth control, the same corporatists would enunciate little objection.

Too bad Walt Disney, the parent company of ESPN, is not as eager to protect the underaged from molesters as they are apparently statutorily objectionable financial gains.

## **Headline Links**

[Former American Idol Bows In Submission Before The Idol Of Tolerance Regarding Gay Marriage](#)

[Adventist Sectarians Viewing Sunday Worship As The Mark Of The Beast Insist Subpoenaed Sermons No Big Deal](#)

[Colorado Potheads Rule Merchants Can Refuse To Bake Christian Cakes But Not Gay Ones](#)

[Are Totalitarian Sodomites Plotting The Systematic Liquidation Of Their Critics?](#)

[Roman Catholic Bishop Evasive As To Whether Or Not He Endorses The Catholic Doctrine Of Marriage](#)

[Was Maya Angelou A Plagiarist?](#)



## **If The Human Torch Can Be Black, Why Can't The Replacement Spider-Man Be White?**

It is supposedly racist for fans to prefer White actors for traditionally White superhero roles such as the Human Torch and even now Spider-Man.

This perception has become so widespread that some are excited over the prospect of Miles Morales rather than Peter Parker becoming the defacto friendly neighborhood wall crawler in the Marvel cinematic universe.

In a story about the role of Spider-man being recast posted at Syfy.com, it was observed that since actor Mateus Ward auditioned for the role, "Second, based on the photo, it's safe to guess that Ward auditioned to play Peter Parker and not Miles Morales."

Syfy.com has chastised those critical of a Black actor playing the Human Torch and suggested that fans should expand our horizons to embrace a non-White Spider-man.

As such, shouldn't we be inclusive enough to accept a non-Black actor depicting Miles Morales?

### **Headline Links**

[Does The Head Of Southern Baptist Missions Travel Cross Country In Luxury Browbeating Congregations Regarding World Poverty?](#)

[Students Given Extra Credit If Not Particular As To Whom Strokes Their Privates](#)

[Prolifers Insinuate Power Of Prayer Limited By Geography](#)

[Fanatic Homeschooler Equates Singles With Filthy Vagrants](#)

[Increasing Number Of Roman Catholics Insist God Is Moving The Church To Embrace Gay Marriage](#)

[Should School Systems Be Reorganized To Placate Immigrant Malcontents?](#)

[Catholic Bishop Confesses He Didn't Realize Pedophilia Was A Crime](#)

[Producers Give The Flash A Case Of Jungle Fever To Placate Tolerancemongers](#)

### **Headline Links**

[New York Times Propagandist Advocates Persecution Against Christians Refusing To Celebrate Homosexuality](#)

[Will Christians Refusing To Hunger Strike Be Blamed For Depravity's Advancement?](#)

[Will Walmart Security Goons Conduct Intelligence Gathering Operations Against Christian Employees?](#)

[Obama Insists You Ought To Surrender Modern Technology Because He Daughter Is Asthmatic](#)

[Should The Media Ignore Episcopal Scandals?](#)

[Are Deadbeats Poised To Collapse The American Political & Economic System?](#)

[Complaints Mount That Victoria's Secret Models Have Little To Mount](#)

[Priest Accused Of Orgies & Kinky Roleplay](#)

[Sandheathens Tossing A Tizzy At The Mention Of Pork Endorse Spousal Cannibalism](#)

[Obama Decrees Youth Should Wallow In Their Carnal Proclivities](#)

[Is Failure To Brownnose Arrogant Constables An Arrestable Offense?](#)

[Apostate Disguises Heresy With Veneer Of Eucharistic Piety](#)

[Catholic School Suspends Teacher Defending Catholic Conception Of Marriage](#)

[Did The Archbishop Of Canterbury's Easter Homily Hint That The Recreational Use Of Private Parts Is No Big Deal?](#)

[Government Insists Subjects Lower Than Serfs](#)

[Apostate Bishops Insist Sound Doctrine A Greater Threat To Christendom Than Moral Depravity](#)

[Depraved Presbyterians Wallow In Infant Sacrifice](#)

[Afrosupremacist Judge Sanctions The Victimization Of White Children](#)

## **Tolerancemongers Police Jeb Bush's Racial Background**

Tolerancemongers are in an uproar over Jeb Bush selecting the category of Latino on a voter registration form.

Apart from kowtowing to threats of mob violence, there really isn't much of anything that can be done about his claim.

For you see, acceptable definitions of the demographic categorization include individuals who self-identify as Latino and who share a language or cultural heritage.

Jeb speaks fluent Spanish and married a Mexican woman.

In a number of his policies, he favors his adopted compatriots over his genetic kinsman.

Shouldn't America be more outraged that here, well into the 21<sup>st</sup> century, that voter identification procedures still collect intelligence as to a citizen's racial or ethnic background?

Furthermore, what about these Hispanosupremacists now insisting that they do not want any of "HIS KIND" (meaning Jeb Bush) to consider themselves among their number?

Isn't this a greater outrage than Ross Perot uttering "You people" at an NAACP rally?

Even more importantly, how is this appreciably different than the Nazis that would be disqualified for membership in the Volk or COMMUNITY anyone they deemed polluted or contaminated by so called "Jewish blood"?

### **Random Observations**

Contrary to what Al Shapton is suggesting regarding nationalized police forces, those certainly did Randy Weaver and the Branch Davidians a lot of good.

Given the number of gays and minorities in Hillary's debut campaign commercial, what does she intend to do for Straights and Whites?

Will those that have complained incessantly about Jesus and the Apostles being "too Anglo" in certain narrative Bible productions speak out about John the Beloved appearing as Black in the AD miniseries. I thought it was Simon of Cyrene before figuring it out.

In light of the anti-religious freedom position maintained by activist Sodomites, the KKK ought to compel a Black fried chicken joint to cater one of that sect's rallies.

## **Jim Bakker Trades Timeshares For Survivalist Rations As His Latest Scam**

In the 1980's, Jim Bakker's racket was resort hotel timeshares. Now it seems to be survivalist rations.

In peddling survivalist rations, does Jim Bakker also intend to provide the firearms to prevent the theft of such provisions by rape gangs?

If Christian ministries hawking survivalist rations are afraid or reluctant to suggest firearms are necessary to secure such provisions against bandits because of government involvement into their affairs should they speak out in such a manner, they should admit as such.

They should not retreat into the Evangelese cop out about how they will instead rely upon God to protect them.

For if they are relying solely upon God's provisions, why are they stockpiling rations but not guns?

In analyzing the decline of Christianity in America, does Jim Bakker acknowledge the role he played in that?

If we are to believe David Wilkerson had powers of precognition beyond deductive observation and analysis, why didn't he foresee the traffic accident that took his life in a manner that could have prevented this tragedy?

### **Random Observations**

President Obama urged Americans to be more like Ted Kennedy. That's certainly what the nation needs, additional Communist-sympathizing, homicidal drunken whoremongers.

Hydrogen cars are being touted for that fuel's low emissions. I am sure that would be a comfort to the victims of the Hidenburg.

Among the differences with Cuba that President Obama vows to respect is included that regimes mistreatment of Black Cubans?

Obama insists that he is not interested in battles that started before he was born. Does that mean that he will finally shut the Ghenna up regarding race?

It was observed that Hillary Clinton might be a formidable presidential candidate. The Medusa was, after all, one of the most terrifying creatures of Greek mythology.

## Did A Baptist Pastor Attempt To Make Students More Worldy?

In a chapel address at a Christian school uploaded onto SermonAudio.com, the pastor admonished that he did not want students giggling during what the minister considered the discussion of a mature topic.

But if youngsters giggle during a topic that makes the students uncomfortable, shouldn't that be considered a blessing that the pupils still possess a degree of innocence?

For does not the Scripture lament those no longer able to blush?

In a chapel address, a pastor remarked to the assembled students about the Christian tendency to condemn fellow believers for behaviors and decisions that are not necessarily sins.

But don't many pick up a number of these peculiarities from the litany of regulations imposed upon them in their formative years in the Christian schools that they are expected to attend?

If not, is the student going to be allowed to slide by if at this school if they smuggle a Harry Potter novel or even a G.I. Joe comic book were smuggled onto the campus?

In asking students why they thought it was wrong to take certain drugs, a pastor chastised a group of middle schoolers for responding that doing so harmed the body.

At their age, what's so wrong with utilizing a little good old fashioned fear or shame to keep the youngsters in line?

## Random Observations

Hillary Clinton commented that we ought to get rid of the divisions that have broken down the nation's politics. That translates that it ought to be against the law to disagree with her.

If Al Sharpton has gone on a hunger strike over something as trivial as the confirmation of an Attorney General nominee, the malcontent should be allowed to starve to death.

In a sermon, a pastor berated the congregation for not knowing where Zephaniah was in the Bible. Perhaps he would rather they never return to the church he pastors.

With that maniacal cackle, you almost expect Hillary to say, "I'll get you my pretty and your little dog too."

## Headline Links

[Former Protestant Eagerly Surrenders Fate Of His Soul To Vatican Functionaries](#)

[Vatican Issues Warning Regarding Seductive Vampires](#)

[Pentagon Eggheads Undermine America's Founding Documents](#)

[Trayvonite Deadbeats Beswarm Unsuspecting Motorists](#)

[Catholic School Insists Depravity Must Be Whitewashed](#)

[Does Tim McGraw Oppose The Second Amendment?](#)

[Aging German Harlot Volunteers As Breeding Sow](#)

[Should Deluded Weirdos Be Allowed To Mutilate Their Birth Certificates Prior To Their Genitals?](#)

[Muslims Allowed To Defile Christian Churches With Apostate Worship](#)

[Fanatic Legalist Damns American Service Personnel As Perverted Babykillers](#)

[Catholic Bioethicists Warn Of Transhumanism's Assault Against Human Nature](#)

[Make A Joyful Noise To Irritate The Depraved](#)

[Governor Stokes Jihadist Uprising In Iowa](#)

[Vatican To Expand Its Carbon Footprint Expelling Gas In Favor Of Global Warming](#)

[Leftist Catholic Lush Chris Matthews Calls For The Exclusion Of Evangelicals From American Political Life](#)

[Should Black Youths Be Lavished With Applause Over What Is Simply Expected Of Other Demographics?](#)

[Revolution To Conclude As Digital Comic](#)

[Students Held Hostage During Hillary Propaganda Stop](#)



## **Will Subjective Psychology Replace Objective Qualifications In Employment Searches?**

If personality tests being used to screen out job applicants, what is to prevent the applicant from not answering the assessment honestly but rather in the manner that they deduce is most likely to secure them the position?

If personality tests are being used to screen out job applicants before objective criteria are applied, would the individual be responsible if they could not find employment?

### **Random Observations**

In a sermon on Christian apathy, fanatic legalist Jason Cooley condemned the entire U.S. military over the actions of a few errant soldiers. Therefore, extrapolating the pastor's logic, ought we to condemn the entire Independent Fundamental Baptist movement for its errant sex crimes that are comparable to any Vatican debaucheries?

In a sermon, a pastor admonished that not only is one required to come to worship but that one must come in a spirit of Sabbath rest. Some people just can't turn off their minds. Once again, would it rather be preferred that people don't show up at all?

If you learn about Alexander the Great under the auspices of a traditional Western Civilization course, it is racist oppression. However, if you learn about him during a course on homosexual history, you are being liberating from the heteronormative hegemony.

Regarding the gyrocopter assault on the Capitol lawn. Is life so miserable as a result of campaign finance reform to likely endanger a post office pension over?

"Tired of the partisanship" is actually a euphemism that the person wants to abolish the First Amendment.

Of me, it was said, "Obviously, you just like to call people, whom you do not like, names for the sake of attacking them." Everyone has got to have a hobby. Furthermore, it is considerably less expensive than wine and woman.

Other than for purposes of social indoctrination, having a gay couple in the recent NCIS: New Orleans infant abduction story didn't add anything to the plot.

## **Should Church Members Submit To Pastoral Interrogations?**

In an interview on Generation's Radio, Albert Martin --- former pastor of Trinity Baptist Church in Montville, New Jersey --- elaborated fondly that over the course of his ministry there that it was the practice to inflict upon the members of the congregation an annual inspection in their homes.

During this interrogation, he would gather intelligence as to the spiritual state of the occupants. His interlocutories would assess areas such devotional life, quality of marriage, and the extent to which the residents were "integrated" into the church.

And what if a church member refuses to allow a member of church leadership into their home?

So long as a member is not bedding someone other than their mate, leaving bruises on a spouse, or does not themselves instigate a request for assistance from the church, is the state of your marriage or what goes on in your home really any of the pastor's business?

### **Headline Links**

[Atlantis & The Coming Ice Age](#)

[Girl Scouts Consider Transvestite Recruits](#)

[Teacher Invokes Underprivileged Status Of Her Students To Justify Intelligence Gathering Operation](#)

[White Self-Loathing Infects Southern Baptist Leadership](#)

[Sodomite Terrorists Desecrate Churches](#)

[Woman Not Wanting Her Ass Cheeks Smeared Across The Internet Should Have Covered Them Over](#)

[Secularist Jew John Stossel Has Little Problem With Persecuting Christians](#)

[Police State Thugs Granted Right To Occupy Property With Impunity](#)

[Vatican Spanks Doctrinally Errant Nuns](#)

[Portly Ecclesiastical Crones Join Al Sharpton In Hungerstrike](#)

[Will Obama Expend 300,000 Gallons In Fuel To Attend Earth Day Rally Claiming You Have Too Much?](#)

## **Is Sportscaster's Outburst That Big A Deal?**

ESPN personality Britt McHenry was caught verbally boring into a tow company clerk.

Did she unload unprovoked or did the clerk say something that set her off?

Social media widely condemned her remarks and her network suspended her.

Yet she still hasn't destroyed as much property as the average Occupy Movement beatnik or Ferguson vandal whom are usually adored by the media.

Does Brittney McHenry invoking her celebrity status in a confrontation with a tow company differ all that appreciably from the verbal manipulations engaged in by law enforcement, racial activists, and even certain veterans in the attempt to get their way?

## **Would Automotive Fops Go Out Of Their Way To Insult Muslims As They Did Southerners?**

On the BBC version of Top Gear, the hosts drove through Alabama with provocative slogans painted across their vehicles.

The point was to solicit a violent response in mockery of the conservative culture of the American South.

Wonder if these same champions of free speech would drive through Muslim neighborhoods in London in a truck advertising free pork barbecue.

## **Random Observations**

It was criticized that most youth pastors are young. Maybe so. But if aspiring ministers don't start at this level, when these individuals apply for more senior positions later in their careers will search committees toss in their faces that they are insufficiently experienced?

It is said security upgrades to the White House perimeter are necessary in light of the ISIS threat. But weren't we told that they were just the "JV" team?

Jeb Bush admits he is concerned that the climate is changing. Apparently not so much so to curtail his travels across the country. That, ladies and gentleman, is a sacrifice you are to endure. Most likely once you are directed shortly to your Walmart reeducation center.

## **Should Allegiance To Certain Church Movements Excuse Profound Moral Failings?**

The following quote attributed to Jack Hyles was printed in a church bulletin: "The time consumed between the opportunity to do right and the doing of the right is often spent trying to justify doing wrong."

A valid observation.

Sort of like the time Hyles concocted a convoluted doctrine not unlike that of Mormon celestial marriage to justify him spending more emotionally intimate time with the church secretary than his actual wife.

Then there was also the time Hyles summoned the deacons to the pulpit and had them vow before the congregation how these church officers were willing to take a bullet if so directed by the pastor.

Will the church bulletin be providing edifying Chesterton quotes in the upcoming weeks and months as well?

Likely not.

For the wisdom of this journalist will likely be disqualified for such an honor because of his ultimate conversion to Roman Catholicism.

For you see, in the brand of Christianity that celebrates ecclesiastical separation as something akin to a prime directive, it is not enough for a thinker to have enunciated an observation or proposition exuding truth.

Often, it is even more important that the intellectual under consideration hold membership in the right organizations and avoid contact with movements condemned as beyond a narrow pale of acceptability.

How else under the lofty identity of Fundamental Independent Baptist can one justify promoting a ministry that has profoundly harmed unnumbered throngs while likely numbering among history's reprobates some of the most gifted writers to ever contemplate the human condition?

## **Headline Links**

[Is Michelle Obama The Biggest Welfare Mooch Of Them All?](#)

[ISIS Radicals Conspire To Exploit White Liberal Guilt](#)

[Will Obama Lavish Reparations On Multigenerational Deadbeats?](#)

## A Review Of *Why I Am Not A Christian* By Bertrand Russell

Without a doubt, Bertrand Russell stands as one of the most formidable minds of the modern era. Through his efforts with Alfred North Whitehead in *Principia Mathematica*, Russell further elaborated the relationship between mathematics and deductive logic. Russell's endeavors, however, were not confined to complex philosophical treatises having little influence outside of academic circles. Russell's work spanned the intellectual spectrum, ranging from works on the history of philosophy to international relations and political theory. Russell even produced newspaper articles for mass consumption. But despite his prolific intellectual output, Russell did not apply his mathematician's logic and objectivity to much of his non-scientific thought, especially in the area of religion as embodied by his work *Why I Am Not A Christian*.

Instead of addressing a single topic throughout the entire work, *Why I Am Not A Christian* is a collection of articles and essays addressing Russell's position on religious matters in general and issues regarding Christianity in particular. Proverbs 23:7 says, "For as he thinketh in his heart, so he is." Many times influential voices speaking in the opinion-molding institutions of academia and media contend that one's views on religion do not necessarily impact other areas of existence such as the political or the sociological. Scripture teaches that this popular opinion is incorrect. However, the Bible is not readily accepted by those arguing for the mentioned opinion. Even though the work argues against the traditional positions of Christianity, the power of *Why I Am Not A Christian* resides in how it links one's views regarding religion with one's beliefs about society and the world despite the author's attempt to argue otherwise.

Russell's religious beliefs (or lack thereof) found their basis in his position that the theistic proofs are

not as conclusive as believers make them out to be. When asked what he would say if confronted by the Creator at his death, Russell said he would respond by saying, "God! Why did you make evidence of your existence so insufficient?"

In *Why I Am Not A Christian*, Russell proceeds to critique each of these arguments. None of them escape his scathing scrutiny. Of the argument from the First Cause, Russell remarks that, if everything must have a cause, then God cannot be the uncaused cause by those following in the intellectual lineage of Aquinas. Russell claims that this argument actually results in an endless digression of creators begetting creators much like those mythological cosmologies where the Earth rests atop an elephant resting atop a tortoise etc. etc (7).

From the outset, Russell argues from faulty notions. According to Norman Geisler in *Introduction To Philosophy: A Christian Perspective*, in a thoroughly naturalistic context something cannot come from nothing. But by its definition, a noncontingent being does not require a cause since its existence is complete in itself (289). Only finite contingent

beings require a cause.

The next proofs tackled by Russell are the arguments for the existence of God from the evidence of creation. Russell argues that, in the light of Einsteinian relativity, the Newtonian system of natural law is not as binding upon the universe as originally thought. Therefore, these scientific principles cannot be used to argue for the existence of a rational creator.

However, one could turn the tables on Russell and point out that the revelations of Einsteinian physics actually provide a better testimony to the existence of God than even the previous Newtonian model. According to Russell, natural law is nothing more than statistical averages resulting from the laws of chance (Russell, 8). John Warwick Montgomery in *Faith Founded On Fact* rebuts Russell's position by pointing out that the Einsteinian and quantum paradigms actually allow for miracles while



maintaining that an ordered universe exists. In those systems attempting to account for the totality of the physical universe, it is God who keeps the universe from instantaneously dissolving into the chaos of individual atoms flying off into their own paths and who can rearrange the normal operations of reality when doing so suits His greater glory such as turning water into wine and resurrecting the dead (Montgomery, 43).

Besides drawing faulty conclusions regarding the validity of the theistic proofs, Russell errs as to their purpose as well. Russell is correct in pointing out that these arguments do leave room for some doubt. Yet this can be said about any other linguistically synthetic proposition about the world as well.

If one wants to get really nit-picky about the matter, one could doubt whether Bertrand Russell himself even existed since the Analysts were not above doubting the veracity of historical knowledge. As much as it might irritate the so-called “scientific mind”, one cannot exist without exercising some degree and kind of faith.

The theistic proofs can serve as a guide pointing towards faith or as a mechanism to help rationally clarify it. They do not properly serve as a replacement for it. Norman Geisler points out that one ought not to believe in God because of the theistic proofs. Rather, the theistic proofs provide one with a basis to reasonably assert that God exists (Geisler, 269). Having taken on the first person of the triune Godhead, Russell turns his sites onto the second, the Lord Jesus Christ. To his perverse credit in a perverse sort way, Russell does not hind behind the phony religiosity of the liberal and the modernist which states, “Jesus was a good teacher, but...”

Russell openly wonders whether or not Christ even existed. And even if He did, Russell asserts, Jesus is far from being the greatest among human teachers as asserted by the likes of the Unitarians and the New Age movement. At best, according to Russell's scorecard, Jesus comes in at a distant third behind Socrates and Buddha (16). According to Russell, Christ's greatest flaw was His belief in the reality of Hell and His condemnation of those who would not heed the Messiah's call. Socrates provides a superior moral example since Socrates did not verbally castigate his detractors (Russell, 17).

Russell's disdain for those believing in the reality of Hell exposes his own bias rather than prove his dedication to the ideas of truth that he invokes elsewhere to undermine the claims of religious faith. In appraising the idea of Hell, Russell does not give

much consideration to the realm of eternal damnation, instead dismissing the concept as a cruel idea (18). But if Hell is real, is not Christ doing the proper thing in warning how such a terrible fate might be avoided? Employing Russell's line of reasoning, it becomes cruel to chastise someone standing under a tall tree with a piece of sheet metal during a thunderstorm since such an exhortation also warns of the dire consequences likely to result from such foolish behavior.

But while Russell questions the historicity of Jesus Christ, he readily accepts that of Buddha even though Christ is perhaps the best documented figure of ancient history. The first accounts of Buddha appear nearly 500 years after the death of that particular religious figure. Those regarding Jesus appear within the first several decades following the Crucifixion. Allegedly having removed God from His thrown as sovereign of the universe, Russell proceeds to lay out what he does believe primarily in the chapter titled “What I Believe”. Replacing religion as the tool by which man approaches the world, Russell would have man utilize science to determine meaning, reducing the totality of reality to that of mere physics (50). To Russell, even thought is nothing more than the chemical components and electrical impulses arising from the brain's physical composition.

Yet despite believing the material world to be ultimate, Russell saw no problem with making pronouncements regarding the areas of life transcending the material base such as ethics and social organization. Russell boldly states in italicized print for all to read, “The good life is one inspired by love and guided by knowledge (56).” However, elsewhere in the very same chapter, Russell says, “...nature in itself is neutral, neither good nor bad (55).”

If humanity is nothing more than the sum of the physical composition of the species, it is then inappropriate to elaborate a theory of morality. Morality poured into such a naturalistic crucible becomes nothing more than individual personal preferences, which do seem to serve as Russell's source of moral reasoning. According to Russell, traditional morality is based upon cruelty and ignorance. However, according to John Frame in *Apologetics To The Glory Of God*, to invoke the values of love and knowledge (even when done so to undermine traditional conceptions of virtue) is to inadvertently defend the divinely established order of creation traditional moral values rests upon in the first place since such values are only desirable if a divinely

created hierarchy exists (93-102).

Ultimately, one cannot craft a system of ethics solely based on science legitimately defined as science. At best, science can only assess and clarify the situations to which moral principles must be applied. To say that science is the source of moral values is to argue for a scientism or a naturalism as loaded with as many conceptual presuppositions as any theistic creed.

One can base one's ethical beliefs on the record of Scripture, which II Timothy 3:16 says is given by inspiration of God and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for corrections, and for instruction in righteousness. Or, one can operate under man's own unaided reason, which is finite, corruptible, and known to change every five to ten years subject to FDA approval. History reveals which has the far better track record.

Unlike many Christians who do not take their worldview outside the church sanctuary or seminary classroom, Bertrand Russell was not one content to keep his philosophy and ideology confined to the level of an academic exercise. In terms of political activism, this was manifested by his vocal opposition to the nuclear diplomacy engaged in by both the United States and the Soviet Union during the tensest days of the Cold War.

However, the application of Russell's worldview did not always lead him to pursue admirable yet perhaps naive goals such as world peace. In fact, Presbyterian minister D. James Kennedy suggests in *Character & Destiny: A Nation In Search Of Its Soul* that Russell may have formulated his philosophical position regarding religious matters as a justification for his erotic proclivities, the lanky intellectual having actually had numerous adulterous relationships including philanderous escapades with the daughters of friends and colleagues (173). In fact, Russell's social views derived from his foundational assumptions sparked considerable controversy. After all, it was not his "*Principia Mathematica*" that cost him a professorship at the City College of New York but rather his views regarding marriage and personal morality.

Seeing man solely as the product of natural processes and merely as a highly evolved animal, Russell's views regarding human intimacy and procreation reflect this sentiment. According to Russell, much of traditional morality --- especially that dealing with sexual ethics --- is based upon superstition. In fact, Russell believes that it would be beneficial for society and family life if the traditional

understanding of monogamous, life-long, God-ordained marriage was openly violated. In these matters, Russell sounds much like a contemporary Planned Parenthood operative or public school sex educator. For example, Russell argues for no-fault divorce, unhampered sexual promiscuity provided children do not result from such illicit unions, and for temporary trial marriages not unlike the phenomena of cohabitation (Russell, 168-178).

Despite his attempts to expand human freedom and happiness in regards to these matters, Russell's proposals are in reality prescriptions for heartache and disaster. The segment of society sustaining the highest number of casualties in the sexual revolution are the young that Russell had hoped to liberate. According to syndicated columnist Cal Thomas in *The Death Of Ethics In America*, by the age of twenty-one 81% of unmarried males and 60% of unmarried females have had sexual intercourse. However, such carnal stimulation is not necessarily the fulfilling personal growth opportunity Russell claimed it would be.

Venereal diseases rank as the number one form of communicable illness in the United States. And the varieties of this pestilence prevalent today do not always react as well to penicillin as those ravaging the morally deviant of Professor Russell's day (Thomas, 92). Those engaging in Dr. Russell's trial marriages --- what used to be referred to as living in sin --- fare little better. Those participating in such arrangements on average go on to experience higher levels of marital discord and incidents of divorce.

God did not establish the regulations regarding human intimacy in order to rain on everybody's parade. These rules were promulgated in order to bring about the maximum degree of individual well-being and personal happiness. Matthew 19:5 says, "For this cause a man shall leave his father and mother, and shall cleave to his wife; and the two shall become one flesh. Hebrews 13:4 adds, "Marriage is honorable in all and the bed undefiled: but whoremongers and adulterers God will judge."

To his credit and the shame of the church, Russell does note how women have over the course of history often endured oppressive marriages many times under the sanction and justification of misunderstood interpretations regarding marital submission. However, any cruelty justified under this command is a misinterpretation of the passage's true intent. In Ephesians 5:25, just two verses away from the famous Scripture misused as an excuse for all manner of masculine cruelty, the Bible clearly reads, "Husbands,



love your wives even as Christ loved the church.” This love is to be a sacrificial and gentle love; not the decree of a tyrant even though the husband is the king of the house. Studies indicate that, in reality, marriage is far safer for women than the live-in arrangements advocated by Russell under the euphemism of temporary marriage.

Having dismissed the traditional family and religion (both organized and otherwise) as impediments to humanity's progress, Russell puts his hope for the betterment of mankind in the state. Rather than punish individuals committing sins so heinous that they infringe upon the well-being of society, the state is to manipulate human behavior in order to bring about desired outcomes beneficial to the greater community. In fact, according to Russell, sin defined as an action committed by an individual in defiance of the universal moral order as established by an omnipotent creator does not exist. Sin is merely that which is disliked by those controlling education (159).

Even those committing the most heinous deeds are not beyond the pale of psychological reprogramming or pity much like that lavished upon a wayward dog that cannot help scratching up the furniture. To bring about his scientific utopia, the state would be granted expansive powers in even those most private aspects of existence. For example, Russell's state would go so far as to decree that children must be confiscated from their parents and raised by trained statist experts (Russell, 163).

Russell also suffers from the same paradox afflicting Marx and other socialists in that Russell desires to shrink the power of the state while at the same time dramatically increasing it. While wanting to put economic power into the hands of workers through a system of guilds and syndicates, Russell also sought to establish a world state having a monopoly on the use of force as well as establish guaranteed incomes and the human breeding restrictions mentioned earlier.

The issues raised by Russell's political opinions still possess relevance today with much of contemporary civic discourse an ongoing debate regarding the very kinds of policies advocated by Russell and his leftwing associates. F.A. Hayek noted in *The Road To Serfdom* that, while liberals might have naive but benevolent intentions behind their social engineering proposals, these ultimately require more bloodthirsty totalitarians or others of a similar vain lacking concern for innate human freedoms and constitutional liberties. Even Russell admits that

much of human liberty is the result of the interplay between church and state (185). What then would result should the influence be nullified as Russell proposes?

Reflecting upon Russell's proposal of state-run childcare, it is highly doubtful whether or not such a program could be implemented without a great deal of bloodshed or a massive multi-generational conspiracy such as Hillary Clinton's it takes a village mentality and the United Nation's Convention on the Rights of The Child. Programs and policy outlooks such as these seek to alter the fundamental nature of the family primarily through bureaucratic stealth and covert legislative manipulation. Realizing that the proclivities towards marriage and family ran so deeply in the human psyche, even the Soviets had to back off their plank to so openly undermine the oldest of human institutions as part of their diabolical agenda. And while the wars plaguing mankind are deplorable, the geopolitical landscape allowing them to arise is still preferable to the global tyranny and persecution that would result from a planetary regime that would impose its iron will on any portion of the world refusing to heed its edicts and decrees. At least under the current world order, a small percentage of humanity is able to enjoy some measure of freedom until the Lord's Second Coming.

Contrary to what even the National Rifle Association claims, America's Founding Fathers did not draft the Second Amendment to protect skeet shooting and squirrel hunting. Instead, this constitutional provision established a sense of liberty by creating tension between freemen and the operatives of the state by implying violence could result should government authorities over step the confines of their legitimate powers. Something similar is true with a system of nation-states competing with one another, none of which can tyrannize all of mankind at one time.

By reading *Why I Am Not A Christian*, one is reminded that the current culture war besieging America did not begin with either the inaugurations of Bill Clinton or Barack Obama. It is in fact decades and even centuries old. While setting out an agenda and its ideological justification, Russell's “Why I Am Not A Christian” also provides a glimpse into the cultural disputes of another era.

The final chapter of the book consists of an appendix detailing the court case that ultimately prevented Russell from obtaining a professorship of mathematical and scientific philosophy. Whether or not Russell's critics should have acted so vehemently

is open to debate as (to utilize a phrase just employed) there is some virtue to settling things through “open debate” with each side detailing their merits and revealing the weaknesses in the arguments of their opponents. However, history has shown that the concerns raised by those opposed to Russell's appointment were based in legitimate fears.

Though Russell cannot bear sole guilt as much of that must also go to his colleagues sharing in his worldview of loose sex and paternalistic government, this philosophy has gained such prominence in social institutions such as education, entertainment, and even religion. Regard for the family and human life has deteriorated to such a degree that it has become regular to hear in news reports of former mailmen mowing down with machine guns their fellow employees (the act itself now referred to as “going postal”) or of prom queens killing their newborns between dances. The world has never been perfect

since the expulsion from Eden, but seldom in history has there been times where such outright evil is openly justified by those in authority such as certain psychologists, elected officials, and media personalities.

Bertrand Russell's *Why I Am Not A Christian* will not stand as a classic regarding what is explicitly written upon the pages. For the highest rational principle appealed to is that the world should enshrine the thoughts and preferences of Bertrand Russell simply because they are the thoughts and utterances of Bertrand Russell. However, the message it propounds between the lines of each man serving as his own god ranks among the central apologetic challenges of this or any other era. The clear style and detectable fallacies found within the pages of Russell's *Why I Am Not A Christian* will prepare Christians to take on more sophisticated versions of these arguments wherever they might appear.

## Headline Links

[Is The New World Order Attempting To Starve Americans As Part Of Military Exercise?](#)

[Will Obama Turn Walmart Complexes Into Extermination Camps?](#)

[Butch Pedagogue Threatens To Brainwash Toddlers Into Embracing Depravity](#)

[Mystery Affliction Sweeps Across Nigeria](#)

[Obama Silent Over Christians Tossed Overboard By Savage Sandheathens](#)

[Police Retain Seized Funds Despite No Evidence Of Crime](#)

[Radical Homeschooler Insinuates You Are Obligated To Repent For Other People's Homosexuality](#)

[The Remaking Of A Modern Mind](#)

[Depraved Seminarian Hypothesizes Jesus Was Transsexual](#)

[Will Bobba Fett & Grand Admiral Thrawn Make Appearances In New \*Star Wars\* Films?](#)

[Disgruntled Catholics Call For Removal Of Archbishop Insisting Catholic Education Should Be Catholic](#)

## Random Observations

In a speech, Bill Clinton applauded ISIS's spirit of inclusion. Yet that withered sex fiend would probably launch a Branch Davidian style assault on Christian bakers declining to bake gay wedding cakes.

The character that was heterosexual enough to have two girlfriends in his previous X-Men cinematic appearance will apparently turn out to be GAY in the next film. Maybe he can have the hots for the Human Torch who went from White to being Black

A Jihadist wanting to attack churches in France shot himself in the foot. Interestingly, he then sought medical attention from those he himself had wanted to murder. Would a Christian bent on similar mayhem in an Islamic nation have been extended such assistance or rather summarily executed?

In response to some issue, Greta Van Susteren said we should be raising “holy hell”. If the Scientology she holds to is the fundamental creed of the universe rather than Christianity, shouldn't she have instead invoked the name of the Swiss Mountain those of her worldview believe in which the cosmic tyrant Xenu is imprisoned?

A woman is accused of running over her husband with an SUV for failing to vote. Just imagine what the harpy would have done if he had left the toilet seat up.

## **To What Extent Should A Child Be Compelled To Surrender Property To Those To Whom They Feel No Compulsion?**

A *Christianity Today* article ponders to what extent should a child be compelled to share their possessions with those to whom they do not feel such a desire.

This is a valid concern.

One might say my grandparents were, literally, generous to a fault.

From an era in which one seldom questioned authority if you wanted to be considered an acceptable Christian, they would often pressure my mom to give away what few possessions that their borderline poverty family could afford.

To this day, this still hurts my mom emotionally when she is feeling down.

Especially when so many of those this generosity was extended to ended up not giving the proverbial damn about either my grandparents or my mother.

It is refreshing to see *Christianity Today* will still publish a rare conservative perspective occasionally.

## **Under Calvinism Isn't God At Fault For Unevangelized Nations?**

On an episode of Generations Radio in which Adam McManus substituted as host, a Rushdoonyian author chastised the church for failing to heed the call of Douglas MacArthur to evangelize Japan following World War II.

But if we are to hold to a Calvinist soteriology that the only ones saved are the ones God wants saved, isn't that therefore God's fault?

Furthermore, on what grounds do you condemn believers who at the time might have been pursuing other paths God intended for their lives?

I don't exactly recall MacArthur dedicating his life to missions in the Pacific Rim after he was put in his place for insubordination by Truman.

Why is it we are expected to neglect the children and elderly here in favor of foreign ones overseas?

[Episcopal Minister Hopes Riots Spark Comprehensive Community Revolution](#)

[Creflo Dollar Accuses Critics Of His Private Jetliner Of Being In League With Satan](#)

[Leftwing Catholics Egg On Violent Upheaval](#)

[ISIS Insurgents Stoke Riots](#)

## **Headline Links**

[Composting Girl Friend Earth Day's Founder's Greatest Act Of Recycling](#)

[Did Mila Kunis Steal Another Woman's Cock?](#)

[Will IRS Thought Police Infiltrate America's Churches?](#)

[Should British Tax Payers Fund The TARDIS?](#)

[Faith & Transhumanism](#)

[UN Demands Europe Open Borders To Jihadist Invaders](#)

[Baltimore Mayor Celebrates The Destruction Of Property](#)

[Apostate Heralds Boston Bomber As Messianic Figure](#)

[Jesuit Propagandist Insists Welfare Recipients Should Be Allowed To Access The Finest Cuts Of Meat](#)

[Is NASA Experimenting With Warp Field Technology?](#)

[Obama Hints Hoodlums Should Be Allowed To Rampage Unopposed](#)

[Albert Mohler Panders To Hispanosupremacists](#)

[Trayvonites Pillage Wig Shop In The Name Of Justice](#)

[Human Cockroaches Fumigated](#)

[Beatnik Grocer Boycotted For Bestowing Provisions Upon Vigilant Guardsmen](#)

[Washington Post Castigates Governor For Interdicting Violent Upheaval](#)

[Baltimore Super Pastor Apparently A Whoremongering Wifebeater](#)

[Catholic Conservatives Admit The Pope Might Not Be So Infallible](#)

[Southern Baptist Prelate Blames Whitey For Baltimore Riots](#)

## Cultural Apologist Apparently Values That Of Africa Over America

Pastor Voddie Baucham is fleeing to Africa on the grounds of the spiritual despair plaguing much of that continent.

Things going so well here that a minister considering cultural apologetics as one of his areas of expertise left with nothing to do?

Marriage has gone back to being solely between a man and a woman?

Gays quietly keeping their proclivities to themselves as a result of good old fashioned shame?

The vast majority of children being born to married couples?

And those that aren't either adopted into loving families or at least raised by biological parents that love the children enough to maintain a facade in front of the child that they tolerate each other and can get along civilly?

Rap music shifted its lyrics now to extol a lifestyle of sober frugality and personal industry?

In detailing his missionary expedition to Africa, pastor and cultural apologist Voddie Baucham points out that he is not a theological renegade but is rather being sent from his local church to a local church.

Extrapolating from that logic, does that mean in our own contexts that the hands (or perhaps more importantly the tongue) of the average believer is tied unless church authorities allow you to evangelize?

From that presupposition, it seems that it is illegitimate to conduct missions or evangelism as a form of God's work apart from the approval of one's church.

Baucham identifies himself as a part of the Reformed movement.

A fundamental perspective of that particular theological outlook constricts the separation between secular and sacred work to the point where that the division is nearly nonexistent.

Therefore, if all work is God's work, should the church have veto power regarding what profession the individual decides to pursue or if they go into business on the path of entrepreneurship?

If one is going out as a missionary in the name of a particular congregation, that is one thing and you should be answerable to their authority as an employee.

However, if you are conducting outreach under the terms of the general mandate where one is essentially admonished to make a nuisance of oneself by interjecting religion into the workplace and in passing conversations with the neighbors, on what grounds do

you condemn if a believer decides to share the Gospel down the street or halfway around the world?

In this sermon justifying his self-imposed exile to Africa, Voddie Baucham criticized men that pursue seminary education in general and in particular those that use that education to find employment at another church without the approval or oversight of what would be categorized as the student's home church.

But is it really the place of the church to deny an individual's access to knowledge?

For that is a mindset characterizing Gnostic-oriented sects such as Mormonism and Freemasonry.

The Christian position is that knowledge should be available to anyone willing to pursue it.

Other than a character reference to assure that the applicant has not been caught with hands on little children, on other men's wives, or in the collection plate, should a single church have that much sway over your ecclesiastical career prospects or ministry opportunities?

### Random Observations

Regarding the mouthy broad that tried to be a comedian at the White House correspondents dinner. Isn't it hypocritical to denounce the impropriety of discussing Hillary's appearance yet mock Rand Paul for supposedly wearing a wig?

A chess champion was bitch-slapped across social media for suggesting that men might be biologically hardwired on average to be better at chess than women. Do tolerancemongers intend to fly off the handle to the same extent when women are upheld as superior regarding certain activities?

A commercial for an upcoming episode of NCIS is making a fuss over the return of a gay character that has only been on the series once or twice before. It took Ducky's lab assistant over a decade of being in nearly every episode to be listed in the opening credits.

A Baltimore mother is being praised for slapping her son over participating in the riots. But aren't some of the same liberals feigning praise for this assertive parenting also responsible for creating an atmosphere where many parents are reluctant to discipline their children for fear of retribution on the part of law enforcement or the social welfare establishment? Relatedly, would the media find this incident as amusing if a father slapped a marauding daughter?

## Random Observations

A Baltimore law enforcement functionary asked in a press conference if the public wants force used against 13-15 year olds. If they are rioting and pillaging, why not?

During coverage of the riots, cable and broadcast networks should not pleep the profanity. Let the American people see and hear just how vile these marauders are.

Does Representative Elijah Cummings attend the funeral of every constituent?

If Freddie Gray had been White, would two commissars from the Obama Regime have attended his funeral?

If President Obama can bring himself to admit that the destruction that transpired in Baltimore was not a protest, why can't he muster the remainder of the moral clarity to admit that it was a riot?

If that one burning building was the White House, would President Obama want it looped over and over again on the evening news?

Juan Williams insisted that populations should be allowed to vent. Do these malcontents not have access to social media over their government provided smartphones?

Hillary Clinton laments that her heart breaks for all of these urban young men. And what about those whose property was destroyed? That is just the prelude to the revolution she and her fellow subversives agitate for.

Approximately half of Americans support gay marriage. If 50% of a population supported loading particular minorities into boxcars for transport for liquidation at designated facilities, would that make that action correct as well?

On Fox News, there was a countdown ticker to 10:00 PM, reminding of the pending curfew. From a propagandistic standpoint, isn't that sort of itching for a riot by presenting it as a sort of apocalyptic Time Square New Year's Eve countdown?

The riots in Baltimore might not have been multicultural in the sense that the violence was perpetrated primarily by a ethnically homogeneous horde. However, these disturbances are in these sense that they are the outcome of spineless Whites that have for decades either fawned all over these listless deadbeats or remained tightlipped having been manipulated into believing tolerance and diversity are greater priorities than the protection of life and property. There is little hope when even prominent Fox News broadcasters drone on with class warfare rhetoric little different than whats regularly spewed over the airwaves by MSNBC ideologues.

Dana Perino enunciated on The Five on Fox News that being a single mother is the hardest job in the world. Regarding the ones never married, unless raped aren't these mothers the ones at fault for putting themselves into this lifestyle situation?

Fox News has reported that, during the Baltimore riots, police were initially ordered to stand down because "it is only property" that was to be looted and vandalized. Would the same perspective have prevailed if it was the White House endangered? Would the attitude be as carefree if it was a abortion facility being threatened? The property of innocent people should be valued more highly than the lives of the guilty endangering it.



Photo by Frederick Meekins



## Subversive Abets Violent Upheaval

The former head of the New Black Panther Party Malik Shabazz insisted that the young hooligans tossing bricks and setting things ablaze still need to be extended love.

But what of the property owners that were not involved in the death of Freddie Gray?

And what of the White race whom Malik Shabazz in the past has threatened violence against?

In his observations of the Baltimore riots, Malik Shabazz proudly remarked how the rampagers reminded him of the Palestinians.

That comment is quite instructive.

If one is going to make favorable comparisons with that particular insurgency movement, just what other aspects of that worldview will you have incorporated into that of your own?

This is especially a concern if you are going to view the police and national guard as an occupying force as played by Israel in that particular narrative.

For you see, revolutionary Palestinianism holds as a fundamental principle that its adherents will not rest until every last Jew is driven into the sea.

Does Shabazz hold to a similar presupposition advocating that similar things be done to Americans that, to paraphrase President Obama's own words, don't look like Trayvon?

Furthermore, it should be noted that Shabazz wasn't simply making idle conversation to prevent an awkward broadcast silence.

According to Wikipedia, the following quote is attributed to this esteemed rhetorician. "Kill every GD Zionist in Israel: GD little babies, GD old ladies. Blow up Zionist supermarkets."

In light of the violence erupting in American cities, are you sure that your infatuation with tolerance and diversity will protect you from this outright subversive and aspiring terrorist?

## Headline Links

[Food Fascists Declare Jihad Against Oreoes](#)

[Trayvonites Beat White Property Owner Into A Coma](#)

[TD Jakes Blames Riots On Those Unwilling To Compromise With Non-Trinitarian Modalists](#)

[The Need For Faithful Ministers](#)

[Sharpton Advocates Soviet-Style Policing](#)

## Random Observations

The lawyer of Freddie Gray's family admonishes that now is the time for all cities to treat all people with dignity. Does this edict also apply to urban thugs that victimize unsuspecting Whites with the knockout game?

It has been suggested that over 5,000 perished in the Nepalese earthquake as judgment for that nation's acceptance of homosexuality. So all 5,000, some of whom dwell in the Earth's most remote regions, endorsed homosexuality? Could it just as easily be that that nation is in the area of the world's tallest mountains that happen to likely be under significant tectonic and seismic stress?

The Mayor of Baltimore vowed that no one in her city is above the law. Therefore, isn't she obligated to have Al Sharpton and one of his minions charged with assault for laying his hands on a Fox News reporter engaged in the exercise of his First Amendment rights?

Prior to his seizure of power, Obama called for the establishment of a national police force just as equipped and funded as the military. Why ought we to believe that it won't be just as deadly?

As a congressman in the legislative rather than the judicial branch of government, other than to foment additional upheaval and discord, why is Elijah Cummings handing down an opinion regarding indictments handed down in the investigation of Freddie Gray's death? Does this federal elected official provide public comment regarding every other motioned filed by the state's attorney's office? Does Cummings intend to speak out regarding the White resident making the mistake of trying to be a good citizen beaten into a coma for attempting to break up the altercation of two delinquents?

In reference to the Baltimore Orioles deciding to play a game in an empty stadium, Eric Boling of The Five on Fox News was reluctant to offer comment because doing so in his view would undermine the free market process. Too bad the broadcaster is not as respectful of individual families when he chastises and castigates parents reluctant to subject their children to verbally abusive coaches or to the bodily injuries inherent to a variety of organized sports.

## No Mere Consideration: The Apologetic Quandaries Found In The Lewis Classic

Psalms 14:1 says, "The fool says in his heart, 'There is no God'." Only those seeking to live in utter irrationality can ignore the arguments made in favor of the God of the Bible by C.S. Lewis in *Mere Christianity*. Three of the most compelling issues the skeptic will have to confront when reading this classic include the universality of the moral law, the existence of a personal God as Creator of the Universe, and whether Jesus is who He says He is.

Fundamental to the creed of the contemporary skeptic is the notion that everything is relative and that there are no absolutes. However, that is itself an absolute. And no matter how cool it is to feign the attitude that one exists beyond right and wrong, no one wants to be treated as if right and wrong did not exist.

Of this universal truth, Lewis observes, "Whenever you find a man who says he does not believe in a real Right or Wrong, you will find the man going back on this a moment later. He may break his promise to you, but if you try breaking one to him he will be complaining 'It's not fair' before you can say 'Jack Robinson' (15)."

Since law exists whether we like it or not, it must have a source beyond us in order to be binding upon us and to avoid degenerating into a matter of mere preference or opinion. Since this universal law represents the codification of a set of principles, it could not have come about as a result of random choice, but rather through some kind of purposeful intelligence. Thus, a second issue confronting the skeptic in *Mere Christianity* is whether the source of this law is personal or impersonal.

The views regarding God can be divided into two basic viewpoints. On the one hand, pantheism believes, in the words of Lewis, that "God animates the universe as you animate your body: that the universe is almost God (30)." Traditional monotheists, on the other hand, believe that God is distinct from creation in a manner similar to "...a man making a picture or composing a tune...A painter is not a picture (30)."

From our understanding of law as a set of principles established for determining right and wrong, the monotheistic conception would be the one most in keeping with the evidence. For if God and the universe were co-terminus as postulated by the pantheists, by definition whatever is, is ought. Only by being distinct from what He has made is God

justified in pronouncing judgment upon it.

Since God is the source of perfection and man so marked by imperfection, there must be some way for these seemingly irreconcilable twains to meet. Since man is imperfect, there is nothing he can do of his own merit to bring himself to God's level. Rather, the imperfect can only be made whole and elevated to a higher status on the terms of the perfect.

Since God is the ultimate authority and source of power, it is up to Him to determine the method through which man can be reconciled to God. Of all of the religions of the world, orthodox Biblical Christianity is the only one where that particular belief's conception of salvation is not granted on the basis of the adherent's own merit or accomplishment but rather as a result through the realization that one's own works are as filthy rags and by throwing oneself on the mercy of a loving God willing to extend forgiveness to those embracing what God has done for them rather than on what they have done for Him. In the Christian tradition, this eternal pardon is granted to those believing that Jesus as the only Son of God lived the perfect life that we could not, suffered and died upon the cross for the sins of the world, and rose in bodily form from the dead.

Thus, the most important issue the skeptic is forced to confront is exactly who do they think Jesus is. Impressed with the morals of Jesus but not wanting to admit that they themselves are sinners, most unbelievers think they are broadminded enough by giving Jesus an esteemed status as an ethical teacher from the past with no present claim on their lives.

However, as Lewis points out, a moral person would not say the things about himself that Jesus said about Himself. Lewis writes, "A man who...said the sort of things Jesus said would not be a great moral teacher. He would be a lunatic --- on a level with a man who says he is a poached egg --- or else he would be the Devil of Hell. You must make your choice (41)."

Much of the spiritual danger of the contemporary world lies in the numerous distractions available to those preferring to avoid those fundamental questions nagging at the human soul. C.S. Lewis, in *Mere Christianity*, forces the reader to confront these issues in an engaging and forthright manner.

## Random Observations

On The Five, Geraldo Rivera interviewed a demonstrator deadbeat. When asked his impression of the violence in Baltimore, the hoodlum responded that it was not his place to condemn violence. And what if it was this deadbeat's welfare shanty burned to the ground?

If someone is denied a homestead property tax credit because they earn too high of an income or have too much saved in the bank (meaning it was not squandered on the latest iteration of the smartphone or flashy hubcaps more valuable than the car they spin upon), they've given back more than a reasonable share to the COMMUNITY for the upcoming year.

Typical. Baltimore mayor suggesting human filth desiring such should be offered a space in which they can destroy herself lives in a gated COMMUNITY.

Those verbalizing the word "thug" have been accused of actually thinking the "N-word". And what if they did? Those saying the word "thug" weren't the ones rampaging in the streets and destroying property.

The Iranian Supreme Leader has Tweeted that, in America, police kill citizens for no reason. That is opposed to as in the Islamic Republic where law enforcement put to death woman going out in public with uncovered heads and toss homosexuals off of multistory buildings.

Donald Trump condemned the Muhammad cartoon exhibition as being unnecessarily provocative of Muslims. Should his beauty pageants be abolished for the same reasons? Those of that particular faith aren't too keen either on broads prancing about in high heels and skimpy swimsuits with their boobs jacked up and their hindquarters swaying in the breeze.

In a church membership class posted on SermonAudio, those in attendance for the proceedings were required from the sound of it to fill in a seating chart of those around them each week supposedly for the purposes of learning the names of the fellow students. If Independent Baptists insist that their practices are derived from sola scriptura, where is the precedent for the described classroom procedure described in the pages of Holy Writ? If a church requires such rigmarole, doesn't the church run the risk of alienating those with social anxiety? For I know I'd go running out of their at the end of the class like Chiroptera fleeing Ghenna.

## Headline Links

[Would Southern Baptist Prelates Attend The National Aryan Leadership Conference?](#)

[Al Sharpton Physically Assaults Reporter In Hate Crime Against The First Amendment](#)

[If He Leaves Office, Will Obama Foment Violent Revolution?](#)

[Morgan Freeman Hints Property Destruction No Big Deal](#)

[Will Moral Deviants Penetrate The Back Door Of The Church?](#)

[Pastor Insists Trayvonites Looting City Should Be Applauded For Doing God's Work](#)

[Will Male Genitals Be Allowed To Matriculate At Women's College?](#)

[Obama Threatens Additional Riots Unless Black Youths Lavished With Handouts](#)

[Would Rick Santorum Allow Bruce Jenner To Escort Underage Girls Into The Lady's Room?](#)

[Which Apostate Will Assume Leadership Of The Episcopal Church?](#)

[Media Elites Agitate For Christless Christianity](#)

[Texas Ranger Claims Government Has Deployed Deathcamp Transports](#)

[Will Parents Reading To Children Be Placed Upon An Offender Registry?](#)

[Woman Without Feet Wins Treadmill On The Price Is Right](#)

[Afrosupremacist Calls For Gestapo Operations Against Whites](#)

[Steak & Caviar Globalists Want The Remainder Of The Population To Subsist On Cockroaches](#)

[CNN Propagandist Exposes His Constitutional Ignorance](#)

[Little Outrage Over Whites Slain By Police](#)

## **Are Church Membership Requirements Exceedingly Intrusive?**

In a church membership class posted on SermonAudio, those in attendance for the proceedings were required from the sound of it to fill in a seating chart of those around them each week supposedly for the purposes of learning the names of the fellow students.

If Independent Baptists insist that their practices are derived from sola scriptura, where is the precedent for the described classroom procedure described in the pages of Holy Writ?

If a church requires such rigmarole, doesn't the church run the risk of alienating those with social anxiety?

For I know I'd go running out of their at the end of the class like Chiroptera fleeing Ghenna.

Some churches require potential members to endure lengthy interrogations and questionnaires that go beyond determining whether or not the catechumen ascents to the basics of the Apostles or Nicene Creeds before being granted that particular status.

If failure to answer in the preapproved manner will result in a denial of membership, what is to prevent the applicant from simply answering in the manner that the leadership expects to hear?

For example, is it really the business of a Christian school administrator whether or not your child has a TV in their room in the privacy of your own home?

Furthermore, who can blame these applicants for fudging their answers when across Christendom the believer is berated and beaten over the head homiletically on a regular basis if one is just an attender and not necessarily a formalized member?

## **Headline Links**

[Benny Hinn's Mistress Marries Third Husband](#)

[Have UN Peacekeepers Invaded America?](#)

[Frau Obama Hints Not Enough Fried Chicken & Watermelon Served At Museum Snack Bars](#)

[Brian McLaren Bestows Blessing Upon Baltimore Rioters](#)

[Will Obama Invoke Civil Unrest As Excuse To Cancel Presidential Elections?](#)

## **Random Observations**

A number of supporters are listing among his qualifications for the Presidency that Ben Carson is Black. Perhaps Rand Paul's should list among their preferred candidate's qualifications that the Kentucky ophthalmologist is White?

If the White House can serve tacos during its celebration of the contrived Mexican holiday of Cinco de Mayo, why is it condemned as racist for assorted student groups to serve these dishes at assorted activities and functions?

On a News and Focus program, William Strum of Berean Baptist Church condemned short term missions trips. But aren't the shortcomings of those undertakings more the fault of professional religionists that shame the average Christian for little involvement with transborder outreach and how even something like vacation has to be justified on the grounds of profound spiritual implication? Can't someone just go to the beach or mountains to be going to the beach or mountains? Does the reason have to have a string of Bible verse references following it?

According to an academic study referenced on Generations Radio with Kevin Swanson, Nigerian children are supposedly better off than those in Baltimore. Does that include the 214 girls rescued from Boko Haram that are now pregnant? At least those impregnated outside of marriage in Baltimore probably had a bit more say in the matter.

A Facebook theologian admonished that one should only pray for a request if it is God's will. But unless one possess precognition or clairvoyance, regarding a variety of morally ambivalent issues of which Scripture is not necessarily definitive, you aren't really going to know what God's will is until a chronometric potentiality has already elapsed.

Speaking in reference to the Muhammad Cartoon Contest, Al Sharpton insists that, even if you have a right to do something, that does not mean you should do it. Mind you, this is the street thug that applauds those that do that to which they have no right such as the vandalism of private and public property. One of the acts he instigated at one time even resulted in the loss of innocent human life.

## Random Observations

Are those outraged by the restaurant sponsoring a White Appreciation Day discount get as bent out of shape over President Obama establishing programs such as My Brother's Keeper that benefit only Colored youth?

The same Afrosupremacists outraged over southern youth posing with Confederate paraphernalia are the same subversives and terrorist sympathizers that exhibit little concern or condemnation of the property destruction that takes place during riots in ghettos such as Ferguson and Baltimore.

In a Lutheran Sunday School class, an Ethiopian pastor smugly remarked that Ethiopia is mentioned around forty times in the Bible whereas America is not mentioned. If Ethiopia is such a wonderful place, why is the pastor here rather than in Ethiopia? And if he is counting among those references those mentioned in the Book of Daniel, I don't recall those being all that positive. From that text, it sounded like Ethiopia would become part of some Islamist or Third World alliance that would attempt to attack Israel towards the end of the age.

Shouldn't the fact that most Westerners have had few encounters with actual demonic sorcery or witchcraft serve as a testament to the power and vitality of the Christian worldview rather than as a pretext to launch a rant on how dimwitted Whitey is? If you are teaching from Acts 8 and skip over the encounter with Simon the Sorcery instead to harp how wonderfully crosscultural the encounter between Phillip and the Ethiopian eunuch, don't you as a pastor bear the responsibility for failing to teach Americans as to the reality of these spiritual dangers?

A Lutheran Church I visited needed over \$3000 for its vacation Bible school program. Who knew cloth puppets and snack cookies were such big business. \$2000 were to be for advertising. Are they flying in Davey & Golitath to film a commercial?

[What's Going On In Yellowstone That Taking Photos There Can Land You In Prison?](#)

[Huffington Post Insists Tailpipe Luvin Is Erotically Normal](#)

[Should Law Enforcement Be Allowed To Probe Your Anus Without Consent?](#)

## Headline Links

[Francis Schaeffer's Apostate Son Claims Jesus A Bisexual](#)

[Is Ancestry.com Whoring Its DNA Database Out To Law Enforcement?](#)

[The Age Ultron's Surprisingly Christian Themes](#)

[Red Chinese Develop Technology To Engineer Asiatic Supersoldiers](#)

[Vatican Functionary To Celebrate Mass For Openly Deviant Catholics](#)

[Slain Police Officer Not Black Enough To Warrant Obama's Condolences](#)

[Obama Denies Visa To Iraqi Nun To Placate His Islamist Masters](#)

[Is The Thrill Of Beach Sex Worth Landing On The Offender Registry?](#)

[Are Leftwing Religionists Establishing Refugee Camps On American Soil?](#)

[Jihadist Sympathizer Elaborates Case For Seizing The Property Of Filthy Americans](#)

[Pervert Prof Demands Students Complete Final Exam In The Buff](#)

[Will Rick Warren Play Tonsil Hockey With Elton John?](#)

[Theology From Fiction](#)

[Confessional Christianity](#)

[Castro Dictatorship & Vatican Express Mutual Admiration](#)

[Socialists Conspire To Exert Influence Over Vatican Doctrine & Policy](#)

[Cosmic Mystery Signal Actually The Observatory's Breakroom Microwave](#)

[Admonition To Protect White Lives Investigated As A Thought Crime](#)



## Are Sloppy Homiletics An Acceptable Mother's Day Gift?

A pastor praised the Baltimore mother that slapped her son for participating in the riots. From the pulpit, the minister announced that this woman should be nominated as mother of the year.

But wasn't what we witnessed more a last ditch effort to interdict a lifestyle of failed parenting?

To remain consistent, shouldn't a minister that just a week or two before suggesting that Bruce Jenner is mentally screwed up because of the increasing number of women that wear pants not lift up as the ideal Proverbs 31 mother someone that has birthed multiple children outside of marriage by as many men and who is covered with tattoos?

As part of a mother's day sermon, a pastor condemned young women for not knowing how to cook from scratch.

Where is it detailed in the Scripture that meals must be prepared from scratch and cannot be out of a can as ridiculed in the pastor's snide comment regarding Chef Boyardee?

If a pastor is going to hold women up to such an impossible standard, is he adept at what would be considered manchores such as gutting his own livestock or even auto repair?

Shouldn't someone that lives in an apartment complex where the staff handles the yardwork and maintenance be reluctant about criticizing other basic tasks no longer completed as they were in the 1800's?

### About The Author:

The *Calloused Digit* is the newsletter of [Issachar Bible Church & Apologetics Research Institute](#). Frederick Meekins holds a Bachelor of Science in Political Science & History from the University of Maryland, a Master of Apologetics & Christian Philosophy from Trinity Theological Seminary, a Doctor of Practical Theology from Master's International School of Divinity, and a Doctor of Divinity from Slidell Baptist Seminary. Dr. Meekins is pursuing a PhD. in Christian Apologetics from Newburgh Theological Seminary. Recipients of this newsletter are granted permission to freely pass along its contents provided proper credit is attributed.

## Does The Texas Governor Worship The Military?

Rick Perry said it is healthy to question the government but not the military.

But is not the military part of the government?

How about when the government through the military is used to implement anti-Christian, pro-gay policies?

Composed of fallen individuals like every other human organization, though it can be respected, free citizens are still within their rights to question the military.

### Random Observations

The Colorado restaurant celebrating White Appreciation Day has extended the discount to all customers. Does the United Negro College Fund now intend to be as broadmindedly magnanimous in the disbursement of the organization's scholarships?

In a sermon, a pastor admonished that, if a member was not able to attend a given Sunday, they were obligated to listen to the sermon online. That was so the truant parishioner could receive their "marching orders". Where the member ought to march is straight out of the church. For down the road, you could very well find that the marching order you are given is to guzzle down the funny-tasting Koolaid.

Mooch Obama gave a graduation speech blaming Whitey for all of her problems. Denzel Washington's commencement oration emphasized the importance of recognizing God to a person's success. Here's a novel idea. How about doing away with celebrity speeches and just hand out the diplomas?

An online meme pictures a man carrying a bow and arrows. The text reads, "Your dad doesn't hunt? Well, happy Mother's Day to your dad." I've yet to see a Biblical text proving that men that don't like to hunt are effeminate. If anything, if Esau and Nimrod are among the foremost examples of hunters mentioned in the Bible, they don't seem to be spoken of all that favorably. Nothing screams masculinity like running off into the woods with a bunch of other men because that is what the group pressures everyone into doing.